intact stomach', but this is well known and obvious because duodenogastric reflux is a physiological event, which takes place in all the subjects as well as in all the H pylori positive ones. In contrast, in my opinion, because of these methodological reasons the statement that 'data suggest that H pylori may induce DGR' is apodyctical and needs to be proved by examining wider series and using more adequate methods.

> P BECHI Istituto Clinica Chirurgica, V le Morgagni, 85, 50134 Florence, Italy

- 1 Keane FB, Dimagno EP, Malagelada JR. Duo denogastric reflux in humans: its relationship to fasting antroduodenal motility and gastric, pancreatic and biliary secretion. *Gastroenterol*-
- 2 Schindlbeck NE, Heinrich C, Stellaard F, Paumgartner G, Müller-Lissner SA. Healthy controls have as much bile reflux as gastric ulcer patients. Gut 1987; 28: 1577-83.

Reply

EDITOR,-We appreciate the comments raised by Dr P Bechi about our recent publication (Gut 1996; 38: 15-8). We agree that one hour assessment of duodenogastric reflux (DGR) by double labelled scintigraphy may not be the most sensitive method for DGR estimation. However, the use of a nasogastric aspiration tube1 and perfusion techniques2 to meas-DGR over several hours ure are unphysiological. The nasogastric tube may induce DGR and the unpopularity of such experiments preclude the investigation of a proper number of patients. The new method of 24 hour portable DGR monitoring (Bilitec 2000, Synectics) is currently the best method to measure DGR quantitatively³⁻⁴ in the fasting and postprandial state, during day and night. This technique was not available when we carried out our study, and it is still under investigation and standardisation.³

We also agree with the comment that 'normal' subjects have various degrees of DGR, but studies of 'normal' subjects have not discriminated H pylori positive and negative persons.¹⁻⁴ However, it has recently been shown that patients with type B gastritis have a high incidence of DGR.⁵ This study lends support to the results of our experiments, where we have shown that significantly more patients who had DGR were H pylori positive (91 v 44%, p=0.01) and that DGR is reduced after successful H pylori eradication. These evidences justify our statement that 'H pylori may induce DGR'.

S D LADAS S A RAPTIS

Gastroenterology Unit, Second Department of Internal Medicine. Athens University, Evangelisnos Hospital Athens, Greece

> H MALAMOU Department of Clinical Microbiology, Penteli Children's Hospital, Palia Penteli, Greece

H GIANNOPOULOU M KESSE-ELIA Department of Nuclear Medicine, Evangelismos Hospital, Athens

- 1 Schindlbeck NE, Heinrich C, Stellaard F, Paumgartner G, Wuller-Lissner SA. Healthy
- controls have as much bile reflux as gastric ulcer patients. *Gut* 1987; 28: 1577–83.
 Keane FB, Dimagno EP, Malagelada JR. Duo-denogastric reflux in humans: its relationship to fasting antroduodenal motility and gastric, pancreatic and biliary secretion. *Gastroenterol-*ogy 1981; **81**: 726–31.

- 3 Just RJ, Kuo B, Castell DO. Changes in intragastric pH show poor correlation with duode-nogastric bile reflux. Gastroenterology 1994; 100: A101
- WKH, Ireland AP, Peters JH, Johnson 4 Kauer WE, Bremmer CG, DeMeester TR. Evalua-tion of duodenogastric reflux in patients with gastric symptoms utilizing a fiberoptic sensor for bilirubin. *Gastroenterology* 1995; **108**: A122.
- A122.
 5 Okamoto E, Haruma K, Fujimura J, Hata, Yamanaka H, Tani H, et al. High incidence of duodenogastric reflux in patients with type-B gastritis. Gastroenterology 1995; 108: A661.

Calcium and colorectal epithelial cell proliferation

EDITOR,-There is still much debate whether calcium can prevent colorectal cancer in patients with an increased risk of the development of such tumours. Calcium intervention studies, using epithelial cell proliferation as an intermediate end point, have produced inconsistent results. Most studies have focused only on the effect of calcium on the rectal epithelium. Several open uncontrolled studies¹⁻⁴ have shown a reduction of rectal epithelial cell proliferation during calcium supplementation, but small placebo controlled studies are not as uniform in their conclusions.5-8 Recently Weisgerber et al (Gut 1996; 38: 396-402) considered this aspect of sample size, as well as the fact that studies were performed with biopsy specimens from the rectum. With respect to the small size of patient populations, two recent studies included much larger numbers of subjects. Bostick et al, performed a randomised, double blinded study in sporadic adenoma patients.9 Patients received placebo (n=66), 1 g calcium/day (n=64) or 2 g calcium/day (n=63) for six months. Rectal biopsy specimens were obtained at baseline, and at one, two, and six months. In this study no difference in proliferation was observed between the three groups. However, calcium normalised the distribution of proliferating cells in the crypts, which is supposedly beneficial with respect to cancer risk. Rothstein $et al^{10}$ published a preliminary report on a very large study in which adenoma patients were randomised to receive 1.2 g calcium (n=173) or placebo (n=160). Before and after six to nine months supplementation rectal biopsy specimens were taken. Calcium had no effect on proliferation and, in contrast with the previous study, no effect on the distribution of proliferating cells either. With respect to the effect of calcium on proliferation of colonic mucosa, Weisgerber et al¹¹ suggested in their recent paper that, apart from one open uncontrolled trial,12 this had not been studied before. In another open uncontrolled study we unexpectedly observed an increase of proliferation in the sigmoid of adenoma patients after 12 weeks 1.5 g calcium/day.13 Weisgerber et al11 performed a randomised, double blinded study and did not find any effect of longterm calcium supplementation on sigmoidal cell proliferation. These results confirm to a great extent our recently reported findings in a randomised, double blinded study in 30 first degree relatives of patients with hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer.14 These subjects are known to have an increased epithelial cell proliferation rate,^{1 15} which responded to calcium in two open studies.1 2 The subjects received 1.5 g calcium/day or

placebo. To elucidate the potential site specific effects of calcium in the colorectum, biopsy specimens were obtained from the rectum, sigmoid, and descending colon, before and after three months. In none of the three parts of the colorectum was a significant effect of calcium on proliferation observed compared with placebo. The only noticeable difference between the two groups was a decrease of proliferation rate in the luminal crypt compartment in the rectum during calcium compared with placebo, a finding similar to that of Bostick et al.9

In summary, from the randomised, double blinded studies reported, the following conclusions can be drawn: (1) in the rectum calcium supplementation may normalise the abnormal distribution of proliferating cells in the crypt without affecting overall proliferation rate; (2) no appreciable effect of calcium supplementation on proliferation in the sigmoid can be observed, and the same seems to be true for the descending colon. Based on these conclusions considerable doubt should arise on the value of calcium supplementation for the prevention of colorectal cancer in people with an increased risk of this disease.

> J H KLEIBEUKER N H MULDER A CATS R VAN DER MEER E G E DE VRIES Departments of Gastroenterology and Departments of Gastroenterowgy and Medical Oncology, University Hospital Groningen PO Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, and Netherlands Institute for Dairy Research, Ede, the Netherlands

- 1 Lipkin M, Newmark H. Effect of added calcium on colonic epithelial-cell proliferation in subjects at high risk for familial colonic cancer. N
- Med 1985; 313: 1381-4.
 Lipkin M, Friedman E, Winawer SJ, Newmark H. Colonic epithelial cell proliferation in responders and nonresponders to supple-mental dietary calcium. *Cancer Res* 1989; 49: 249-249. 248-54.
- 3 Rozen P, Fireman Z, Fine N, Wax Y, Ron E. Oral calcium suppresses increased rectal epithelial proliferation of persons at risk of colorectal cancer. *Gut* 1989; **30:** 650–5.
- 4 Lans JI, Jaszewski R, Arlow FL, Tureaud J, Luk GD, Majumdar APN. Supplemental calcium suppresses colonic mucosal ornithine de-carboxylase activity in elderly patients with adenomatous polyps. *Cancer Res* 1991; 51: 3416-0. 3416 - 9
- 5 Gregoire RC, Stern HS, Yeung KS, Stadler J, Langley S, Furrer R, et al. Effect of calcium supplementation on mucosal cell proliferation in high risk patients for colon cancer. Gut 1989; 30: 376-82.
- 1989; 30: 376-82.
 Wargovich MJ, Isbell G, Shabot M, Winn R, Lanza F, Hochman L, et al. Calcium supplementation decreases rectal epithelial cell proliferation in subjects with sporadic adenoma. Gastroenterology 1992; 103: 92-7.
 Barsoum GH, Hendrickse C, Winslet MC, Young D, Donoran IA Meanpolemos IB et al.
- Youngs D, Donovan IA, Neoptolemos JP, et al. Reduction of mucosal crypt cell proliferation in patients with colorectal adenomatous polyps by dietary calcium supplementation. Br J Surg 1992; **79:** 581-3.
- 8 Bostick RM, Potter JD, Fosdick L, et al. Calcium and colorectal epithelial cell proliferation: a preliminary randomized, double-blinded,
- preliminary randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; 85: 132-41.
 9 Bostick RM, Fosdick L, Wood JR, Grambsch P, Grandots GA, Lillemoe TJ, et al. Calcium and colorectal epithelial cell proliferation in sporadic adenoma patients: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1995; 87: 1307-15.
 10 Rothstein RI, Baron JA, Tosteson TD. Effect of calcium supplementation on human rectal
- Rothstein RI, Baron JA, Tosteson TD. Effect of calcium supplementation on human rectal mucosal proliferation: a randomized con-trolled trial. *Gastroenterology* 1995; 108: A530.
 Weisgerber UM, Boeing H, Owen RW, Waldherr R, Raedsch R, Waldendorf J. Effect of longterm placebo controlled calcium supple-mentation on sigmoidal cell proliferation in