## **I FTTFRS**

## Comparison of the in vitro susceptibility of rifaximin versus norfloxacin against multidrug resistant bacteria in a hospital setting. A proof-ofconcept study for use in advanced cirrhosis

We read with interest the recent letter to the editor from Waidmann et al1 investigating the effect of multidrug resistant (MDR) bacteria intestinal colonisation on the prognosis of patients with cirrhosis. The authors concluded that infections due to MDR bacteria and asymptomatic intestinal colonisation with MDR bacteria are a risk factor for mortality in cirrhosis. That information expands the relevance of preventing and treating infections due to MDR bacteria in patients with cirrhosis.

Infections due to MDR bacteria are mostly nosocomial in origin and represent an increasing threat in decompensated cirrhosis, due to the high requirement of changing antibiotics and its associated mortality.<sup>2</sup> According to the actual pathogenic hypothesis of spontaneous infections in cirrhosis, a nosocomial spontaneous infection due to MDR bacteria should be preceded by its colonisation of the intestinal lumen and further translocation. Therefore primary prophylaxis with the adequate drug might reduce its incidence. Norfloxacin (NOR) is a poorly absorbable quinolone that is widely used as primary and secondary prophylaxis of infections in advanced cirrhosis, decreasing the incidence of bacterial infections, and of hepatorenal syndrome and increasing survival. However, recently, its use has been shown to be an independent predictive factor for the development of infections due to MDR bacteria.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, alternatives to NOR are needed in this setting.

Rifaximin (RFX) is a broad-spectrum antibiotic of the ansamicins family that is not absorbed from the GI tract and reaches high levels in the intestinal lumen. It rarely induces bacterial resistances and its effects disappear rapidly after finishing administration.<sup>4</sup> Its usefulness has been proved in patients with advanced cirrhosis and hepatic encephalopathy.<sup>3</sup> In this investigation we aimed to compare the in vitro bactericidal activity of NOR and RFX against a large series of MDR bacteria that are detailed in table 1.

MDR bacteria are strains resistant to at least three of the main antibiotic families, including ß-lactams. Bacteria here investigated were isolated from different specimens (colonisation or infection) obtained from patients admitted to the Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Spain, from January to May 2013. The micro-organisms were identified by MALDI-TOF (BRUKER, F Soria, Spain) methods and initially susceptibility test was performed by Microscan (WalkAway 96 Plus, Siemens, Health Care Diagnostics, S L, Germany), and afterwards the full range of minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of RFX and NOR were determined by an agar dilution method following the guidelines of the and Laboratory Standards Clinical Institute.<sup>4</sup>

RFX and NOR MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> obtained for the tested bacteria are shown in table 1. As detailed, MIC<sub>90</sub> for RFX was lower than that for NOR in all cases but for susceptible Escherichia coli, Morganella Citrobacter. and and Enterobacter MDR (equally effective as NOR). These MIC<sub>90</sub> are easily achieved due to the high concentration of RFX in the intestinal lumen following its oral administration.

Information here provided demonstrates that RFX shows bactericidal activity against a large series of MDR bacteria collected from different patients in a tertiary hospital setting and in the vast majority of cases it is significantly higher than that observed with NOR. Therefore information in vitro suggests that RFX may be considered as an alternative to NOR, either as a primary or a secondary prophylaxis of bacterial infections caused by MDR bacteria in hospitalised patients with advanced cirrhosis. However this hypothesis should be confirmed through the design of an appropriate clinical trial.

## J M Ramos,<sup>1,2</sup> I Vidal,<sup>3</sup> P Bellot,<sup>4,5</sup> I Gómez-Hurtado,<sup>5</sup> P Zapater,<sup>5,6</sup> J Such<sup>5,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Medicine, Miguel Hernández University, Elche, Alicante, Spain

- <sup>2</sup>Departments of Internal Medicine, Hospital General Universitario, Alicante, Spain
- <sup>3</sup>Departments of Microbiology, Hospital General Universitario, Alicante, Spain
- <sup>4</sup>Liver Unit, Hospital General Universitario, Alicante, Spain
- CIBERehd, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain <sup>6</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Hospital General Universitario, Alicante, Spain

<sup>7</sup>Digestive Disease Institute, Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi, UAE

Table 1 Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) (µq/mL) and range of rifaximin and norfloxacin for susceptible and resistant bacteria

Micro-organisms	Number of isolates	Rifaximin			Norfloxacin		
		MIC <sub>50</sub> , μg/mL	MIC <sub>90</sub> , µg/mL	MIC range, μg/mL	MIC <sub>50</sub> , μg/mL	MIC <sub>90</sub> , μg/mL	MIC range, μg/mL
MS S. epidermidis	10	≤0.007	≤0.007	≤0.007–8	0.25	64	0.015–64
MR S. epidermidis	20	≤0.007	≤0.007	≤0.007–16	2	32	0.015-32
MS S. aureus	20	≤0.007	≤0.007	≤0.007	1	128	0.5–128
MR S. aureus	20	≤0.007	0.06	≤0.007–2	128	>128	32->128
PS E. faecalis	20	2	4	0.25–4	4	64	2->128
PR E. faecium	16	4	16	1->128	>128	>128	16->128
Escherichia coli susceptible	20	8	8	1–16	0.125	1	0.03–1
MDR E. coli	23	8	16	4–16	64	128	2->128
MDR Kebsiella pneumoniae	27	32	64	16–128	32	128	0.06->128
MDR Proteus mirabilis	5	4	4	0.06–4	8	64	0.05-64
MDR Citrobacter, Morganella and Enterobacter	5	16	32	0.03–32	0.25	32	0.125–32
MDR P. aeruginosa	13	16	16	8–16	16	128	0.125-128
MDR A. baumannii	14	1	4	0.25–4	>128	>128	128->128

MDR, multidrug-resistant; MS, methicillin-susceptible; MR, methicillin-resistant; PS, penicillin-susceptible; PR, penicillin-resistant.

bsg

**Correspondence to** J M Ramos, Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital General Universitario, Alicante, Pintor Alonso, 12, Alicante 03010, Spain; jramosrincon@yahoo.es

**Acknowledgements** The authors thank Encarnación Esteban MD, Technical Director of Instituto Valenciano de Microbiología, (Valencia, Spain) for her support during this investigation.

**Contributors** JMR and JS designed, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. IV and IG-H performed the research and analysed the data. PZ analysed the data and critically revised the manuscript.

**Funding** This investigation was performed with a research Grant from Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias, Madrid, Spain (FIS PI12/00020).

Competing interests None. Patient consent Obtained.

**Ethics approval** Hospital General Universitario of Alicante Ethics Committee.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.



**To cite** Ramos JM, Vidal I, Bellot P, *et al. Gut* 2016;**65**:182–183.

Received 18 February 2015 Accepted 7 March 2015 Published Online First 1 April 2015

*Gut* 2016;**65**:182–183. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309421

## REFERENCES

- 1 Waidmann O, Kempf VA, Brandt C, *et al*. Colonisation with multidrug-resistant bacteria is associated with increased mortality in patients with cirrhosis. *Gut* 2015. Published Online First: 23 January 2015.
- 2 Fernández J, Acevedo J, Castro M, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of infections by multiresistant bacteria in cirrhosis: a prospective study. *Hepatology* 2012;55:1551–61.
- 3 Mullen KD, Sanyal AJ, Bass NM, et al. Rifaximin is safe and 2well tolerated for long-term maintenance of remission from overt hepatic encephalopathy. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;12:1390–7.e2.
- 4 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard.* 9th edn. CLSI document M07-A9. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2012.